

Wayamba University of Sri Lanka

Application for Approval of New External Degree Programmes or major revisions* to existing External Degree Programmes (Revised May 2022)

Check List for Proponent								
		Date	,	Month			Year	
Proposal submission								
Date of first submission to ADPSEC / CQA								
Date of submission of amended proposal								
Final submission to the Senate								
Hard copy								
Soft copy								

Type of Proposal (Please mark √ accordingly) – by Proponent

Exte	External Degree Programmes					
а	Proposal to introduce a new external degree programme					
b	Proposal to introduce a new specialization area in an existing programme					
С	Proposal to rename the existing degree					
d	Proposal to restructure the existing curriculum					
е	Others (Specify)					

- * Major revisions include the following:
 - Changing the structure of the program, including duration
 - Changing program learning outcomes or graduate profile
 - Adding or removing one or more courses
 - Changes to the total credits of the program
 - Changes to SLQF level
 - Adding or removing lateral entry and early exit possibilities
 - Deleting the complete program
 - Inclusion of provision for credit sharing with another local/foreign institution

Please email the completed application with all signatures and required annexures to academicbranch@wyb.ac.lk and director.iqau@wyb.ac.lk and forward hard copy to the following:

Senior Assistant Registrar, Academic Branch, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka, Kuliyapitiya

Director, Centre for Quality Assurance, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka, Makandura

Application form for approval of new External Degree Programme or major revision of existing External Degree Programme

1	1.1	Name of qualification in all three languages, in accordance with	(English)					
		SLQF 2015	(Sinhala)					
			(Tamil)					
	1.2	Abbreviated	(English)					
		qualification (refer to						
		QAC guidance on this)						
2		amme Offering Entity						
	2.1	University						
	2.2	Name of External						
		Degree Coordinating						
	2.2	Centre / Unit						
	2.3	Faculty						
	2.4	Department / Board						
		of Study (if applicable)						
	2.5	Mandate Availability			T			
		Corporate / Strategic Plan of	Reference Number:	Date of approval:	Evidence (Please tick V if			
		the University		//	attached)			
		Action Plan of the	Reference Number:	Date:	Evidence			
		Faculty/Institute/		/	(Please tick √ if			
		Center/Unit			attached)			
	.	6.1						
3		s of the proposed degree	· · ·					
	3.1	Background to the prog	gramme (Attach as a sepa	rate document formatted	as indicated in Annex I)			
		Evidence must be presented to show that the University/ Faculty /Department proposing the new degree programme has the capacity to offer the proposed programme. This section should include information regarding the number of Faculties, Departments, Study Programmes and UGC approved Intake for the respective internal undergraduate degree programmes and actual numbers enrolled over the past 3 years, as well as a profile of academic staff in the Faculty that will offer the proposed programme.						
	3.2	Information regarding t Attach as a separate docum			egree programmes			
		This section should include offered by the university; by including organizational administrative procedures, g	description of the organizerrangements for such Ce	ational structure approved ntre/Unit, with administr	d by the Governing Council ative structure, academic			

	Name of qualification	Average number of 1 st year students enrolled per year over past 5 years	Average number of students graduated over past 5 years						
	conducted not m the degree progr current and proj programmes offe taken into consid A summary of to annexed.	ore than 3 y ramme prop ected labou ered by the p eration. he report sh	quantitative and qualitati ears prior to submission of osed must be clearly indic r market demand and sup proposed university/institut could be given in Sections groups from whom vie	the application. The currer ated. In making assumpt ply, the output from intere as well as by other unive	nt and future demar ions, with respect t rnal and external de rrsities/institutes mu				
		questionnaii	gathering information re/interview; when conduc	ted; number of persons in s	sample				
	Objectives of the Degree Programme / Programme Outcomes / Graduate Profile 3.5.1 Objectives of the Degree Programme Include subject specific qualification descriptors which are relevant to study programme								
3.5	3.5.1 Objective	es of the D	egree Programme	·					

			The graduate profile should be mapped to attributes of the qualification holder, for the relevant SLQF level.								
	3.6	Specify which programme	Relevant Subject Benchmark Statements Specify which of the Subject Benchmark Statement(s) published by the UGC is most relevant to the proposed programme of study. If there is no relevant SBS published in Sri Lanka, refer to SBS published by the Quality Assurance Agency of the UK								
	3.7	· ·	criteria ons for admis d programme		List the GCE A/L subject basket:						
	3.8	Admission	process		i. UGC 'Z' score-based selection ii. 'Z' score & Aptitude Test iii. 'Z' score + Aptitude Test + Interview						
	3.9	Proposed s	student intal	ke	,	ld NOT be more th	nan twice the annual al degree programm				
:	3.10		•	•	ed qualification: lerline appropriate	e response)					
		Cou Stu	urse work: .	Resea	ired for award of o credits arch:						
:	3.11	_	e Structure:		the following format	•					
		Semester	Course Code		e of course unit	Credit Value	Status (Compulsory / Optional)	Existing/ New			
	3.12	IV) Information Semeste Course (Course N Credit V Hourly B Course A Course (Course Specifications (Attach as a separate document for each course, formatted as shown in Annex IV) Information should be provided for each course unit or module, regarding the following: Semester: Course Code: Course Name/Title: Credit Value: Hourly Breakdown: Theory/Practical/Independent Learning Course Aim/Intended Learning Outcomes: Course Content: (Main topics, Subtopics) Teaching /Learning Methods:								

		Assessment Strategy:	
		Continuous Assessment:% Final Exam - Theory:%	
		Practical:%	
		Recommended reading:	
		Ü	
_			
4	Annex \	•	em (Attach as a separate document formatted as shown in
		v) e in detail the teaching and learning activities bui	ilt into the study programme
			use of ICT teaching and learning tools is a requirement.
5	Progra	mme Assessment Procedure / Rules (Atta	ach as a separate document formatted as shown in Annex
	VI)		7
		e in detail the Programme Assessment Procedure ive Senate):	/Rules and Regulations (These should be approved by the
	respecti	ive senatej.	
6	Recog	nition of prior learning (credit transfer ar	nd lateral entry)
			earning, such as credit transfer from other study programs
	of the s	ame institution or different institutions and possi	ble exemptions – see QAC relevant guidance)
7	Panel	of Resource Persons (Attach as a separate de	ocument formatted as shown in Annex VII)
		both internal and external resource persons inclu	
8	Does	the Faculty have resources to	
	comm		Yes No No
		amme, pending allocation of resources	
	reques		
9	9.1	oes the programme have exit points at	Yes No No
	C	lifferent levels	
	9.2 I	f yes, state qualification at exit points	
		e approval is obtained separately for each	
		int qualification)	
10		he programme have any collaboration	Yes No
10		nother Department / Faculty or	163
		te outside universities?	If yes, give details:
		te outside universities.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
11	Does	the programme require access to	Yes No
	faciliti	es outside the university?	
		·	If yes, attach a copy of the relevant agreement /MoU
			with the appropriate authority
12	Do th	e graduates need membership in the	Yes No
	profes	sional body after completion of the	
	degree	e?	If yes, attach a copy of the document on
			recognition/provisional recognition of the degree by
			the professional body
	i		

13	Fee st	tructure and budget					
	13.1	Tuition fees per year					
	13.2	Other fees if any (specify)					
	13.3	Total estimated budget per student	(Attach as a separate document in Annex VIII, with rates as recommended in UGC Circular no 4/2016)				
14	Reviev	wers' Reports					
	14.1	Names of the two reviewers	1.				
			2.				
	14.2	Nomination by Senate	Date:/				
	14.3	Report of reviewers attached (should					
		be in the format shown in Annex IX)	Yes No No				
	14.4	Incorporation of reviewers'	Yes No No				
		recommendations	(Attach separate document with response to each comment)				
15	Any o	other relevant information not stated	7				
	above						
16		al approval of the University					
	16.1 A	Approval of the Faculty Board	Meeting number:				
			Date of meeting:				
			Signature of Dean:				
			Name of Dean:				
			(attach certified copy of relevant minute)				
	16.2 A	Approval of the ADPSEC	Meeting number:				
			Date of meeting:				
			Signature of Director of Centre				
			Name of Director:				
			(attach certified copy of relevant minute)				
	16.3 A	Approval of the Director, QA	Name of Director QA:				
			Signature of Director, QA:				
			Date:				
			Official seal				

Annex I: Background to the programme

Evidence must be presented to show that the University/ Faculty /Department proposing the new degree programme has the capacity to offer the proposed programme. This section should include information regarding the number of Faculties, Departments, Study Programmes and UGC approved Intake for the respective internal undergraduate degree programmes and actual numbers enrolled over the past 3 years.

- > Existing Faculties in the University
- > Departments of Study in the Faculty offering the proposed programme
- ➤ Details of full-time internal degree programmes offered by the Faculty, staff cadres and the number of students admitted to each programme in the past 3 years.

	Faculty: E.g. Management									
	Department	Full time	Academic staff in permanent cadre				Number of students admitted in			
		undergraduate programmes	#	*	**	***	Year 1 (e.g. 2017)	Year 2 (e.g. 2018)	Year 3 (e.g. 2019)	
1	Eg. Business Management	E.g. Bachelor of Science Honours in Business Management								

^{#,} Number of approved cadre; *, Number of senior professors, associate professors or senior lecturers with a doctorate; **, Number of senior lecturers with masters; ***, Number of probationary lecturers.

Annex II: Centre / Unit responsible for coordinating external degree programmes

- ➤ Name of the Centre / Unit that coordinates external degree programmes offered by the university
- Organizational structure approved by the Governing Council (inclusive of administrative structure, academic administrative procedures, general and financial management procedures)
- > Staff cadres in Centre/Unit
- > Attach By-Laws or University Regulations related to the Centre/Unit
- ➤ Whether the Centre/Unit has an Internal Quality Assurance Unit supervising its functions, and if Yes, provide details

Annex III. Needs analysis and justification

A detailed report must be provided with quantitative and qualitative data derived from a labour market survey (s) conducted <u>not more than 5 years prior</u> to submission of the application.

The current and future demand for the degree programme proposed must be clearly indicated.

In making assumptions with respect to the current and projected labour market demand and supply, the output from internal and external degree programmes offered by the proposing university/institute as well as by other universities/institutes must be taken into consideration.

Provide a brief account of other study programmes in Sri Lanka, that produce similar qualification holders.

Annex IV: Course Specifications

Each course unit in the proposed programme should be described in the format given below

Semester:								
Course Code:								
Course Name:								
Credit Value ¹ :								
Core/Optional:								
Pre-requisites:								
Course Aim:								
Intended Learning Outcomes: (ILOs should be written with action verbs, with a description of the student will be able to do on completion of the course unit) > > > > > >								
Time allocation ² (Hourly Breakdown)	Theory	Practio	Practical		Assessment			
Course Content: (Main topics, Subtopics)								
Teaching /Learning Activities: Each course unit must have at least one or two sessions that are available online								
Assessment Strategy: a	allocation of m	arks and assess	sment c	components				
Continuous Assessmer	nt:	Final Assessme	ent:	%				
%								
Details: quizzes %, mid-s other % (specify)	emester %,	Theory (%)	Pra	actical (%)	Other (%)(specify)			
%% .	%							
Recommended Readin	g:		ı					

¹ Credits values of non-standard/non-conventional delivery methods should be defined at the beginning of the document

² This should be provided as hours assigned for lectures, practical classes or independent learning, such that a total of 50 notional hours of learning are required for each credit. For industrial training and research projects, one credit requires 100 notional hours of learning.

Please include constructive alignment for each course using the following template.

Course: <Code> <Title>

ILO	Teaching and Learning Activities	Assessment
ILO 1		
ILO 2		
ILO 3		
ILO 4		
ILO 5		
ILO 6		
ILO 7		

[See notes on constructive alignment at the end of this application form]

Annex V: Programme Delivery and Learner Support System

Describe the teaching learning methods that will be used for delivery of the programme of study, and the learning resources that will be available to support student learning.

The learning activities must be student-centred, and include opportunities for blended learning that makes judicious use of ICT based tools.

Each course unit <u>must</u> have at least one or two sessions that are available online

Annex VI: Programme Assessment Procedure/Rules and Regulations

(The following should be given in detail with any other relevant information)

- 1. Maximum duration allowed to complete the program
- 2. Requirements of pre-requisites to take end-course examinations
- 3. Any barriers if imposed as pre-requisites, to take courses in subsequent levels
- 4. Any minimum requirements to sit for formative and summative examinations
- 5. Scheme of Grading (Grades/Grade Points/ Marks ranges)
- 6. Minimum grade which is considered as pass
- 7. Calculation of Grade Point Average (GPA)
- 8. Contribution from each year (level) of study to cumulative GPA
- 9. Contribution by in-plant training etc. to final GPA
- 10. Possibility of repeat examinations
- 11. Rules and regulations on exceptions
- 12. Rules and regulations on attendance for day-school
- 13. Rules and regulations on dealing with absenteeism at examinations and letters of excuses
- 14. Requirements in relation to pertinent payments
- 15. Requirements for award of the degree
- 16. Requirements for award of classes
- 17. Reference to any overarching university rules and regulations

Annex VII. Resource Persons

A. Panel of Internal Resource Persons

Name of internal resource person	Designation	Average No. of Teaching Hours/Week in existing programs				
		Internal UG (i)	Postgraduate (ii)	Extension courses (iii)	Proposed Programme (iv)	Total Hours (i)+(ii)+(iii)+(iv)

B. Panel of External Resource Persons

Name	Qualification	n	Affiliation

Annex VIII: Estimated Budget

According to format provided in UGC Circular 4/2016 issued on 1 March 2016

	% to be allocated	Rs.	Rs.	
A. Total Income				
i. Course / Consultancy Fee			XXX	
ii. Research Grant			XXX	
iii. Registration Fee			XXX	
iv. Library Fee			XXX	
v. Other (Please Specify)				
		xxx		
		XXX	XXX	
Total Income = Direct Cost + Indirect Cost			ххх	
B. Direct Cost				
5.1.1 Payments to Outside Consultants/Team Members, Contract Staff		xxx		
5.1.2 Field Expenses, Academic activities, Surveys, Testing, etc.		xxx		
5.1.3 Conduct meetings, Hire lecture halls, Refreshments, etc.		xxx		
5.1.4 Travelling, Subsistence, Materials, Equipment usage etc.		xxx		
5.1.5 University/HEI/Faculty/Department/Course/Infrastructure		xxx		
Development/etc. Vote		^^^		
5.1.6. Government Taxes		xxx		
5.1.7 UGC – 0.01% of Income		xxx		
5.1.8 Other (Please Specify)				
		xxx		
		XXX	XXXX	
C. Indirect Cost				
5.2.1 University/HEI Development Vote	15% -30%	xxx		
5.2.2 VC's Vote	0% - 5%	xxx		
5.2.3 Payments to Directly and Indirectly involved Staff Members	65%-85%	XXX	XXXX	
Total Cost = Direct Cost + Indirect Cost			хххх	
D. Excess of Total Income over Total Costs/Expenditure			0.00	
5.3 Total Allocations to Development Votes (5.1.4, 5.2.1, 5.2.2) / Total Income				

Annex IX: Reviewers' Reports

The proposal should be reviewed by at least two content / subject experts, who have been nominated by the Senate of the University. They should be requested to comment on the proposal using the following template.

1	Acceptability of the background and				
	the justification				
2	Relevance of propo	-			
	program to society				
3	Appropriateness of				
	qualifications and a	•			
4	Appropriateness of	the program			
	structure				
5	Appropriateness of	the program			
	content				
6 Appropriateness of teaching learning					
	methods to deliver	program leaning			
	outcomes				
7	Appropriateness of	the recommended			
	reading				
8	Appropriateness of	assessment			
	strategy / procedur	·e			
9	Adequacy of Qualifications of Resource				
	Persons (Internal & External)				
10	Recommendation of the reviewer				
	(Please mark one of the following)				
	a. Recommended without				
	amendment				
	b. Recommended subject to				
	improvement in the given areas		(Areas to be listed specifically)		
	c. Not suitable for the next stage of		(Reasons to be listed specifically)		
	evaluation due to given reasons				
	T				
	Details of Reviewe	r			
1	Name				
2	Designation				
2	Cianatura				
3	Signature				
1	Date				
4	ן שמופ				

Notes: Constructive alignment

"Constructive alignment is a design for teaching in which what it is intended students should learn and how they should express their learning is clearly stated before teaching takes place. Teaching is then designed to engage students in learning activities that optimize their chances of achieving those outcomes, and assessment tasks are designed to enable clear judgments as to how well those outcomes have been attained" (Biggs, 2014, pp. 5-6).

The constructive alignment approach recognizes "knowledge is constructed by the activities of the learner" (Biggs, 2014, p. 9) rather than being directly transferable from teacher to student. "Learning takes place through the active behavior of the student: it is what he does that he learns, not what the teacher does." (Tyler, 1949)

Alignment occurs when the learning activities that we ask students to engage in help them to develop the knowledge, skills and understandings intended for the unit and measured by our assessment. A constructively aligned unit capitalizes on the powerful effect of assessment on students' learning experiences. If assessment drives students' learning, then students are most likely to achieve our intended outcomes if the assessment is aligned with our intentions.

The framework of constructive alignment is represented in the University's recommended approach to unit design:

- 1. Identify the intended learning outcomes
- 2. Design assessment tasks to measure attainment of the learning outcomes
- Plan learning activities to enable students to develop the skills, knowledge and understandings described in the intended learning outcomes and measured by assessment

References

Biggs, J. (2014). Constructive alignment in university teaching. HERDSA Review of Higher Education, 1, 5-22.

Tyler, R.W. (1949). Basic principles of curriculum and instruction. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.