

Wayamba University of Sri Lanka

## Application for Approval of Revised Undergraduate Degree programs (with effect from May 2022)

Check List for Proponent								
	Date			Month			Year	
Complete original application submission to the Senate								
Hard copy								
Soft copy								

## Type of Proposal and Revisions done (Please mark √ accordingly) – by Proponent

Und	Undergraduate Proposals					
Туре	Type of Degree					
)	Internal Degree					
)	External Degree					
Revi	Revisions done (Please refer the notes given at the end of this application)					
a Changes to the number of academic years required for the study program						
b	b Changes to SLQF qualification type with designators and qualifiers					
С	Changes to SLQF exit level					
d	Adding or removing lateral entry and early exit possibilities					
е	Changes to admission criteria					
f	f Adding/deleting or substituting one or more specialization/major in an existing degree program					
g	Others (Specify)					

Note: Please specify the revisions made under (a) to (g) in Annex XI

Please email the completed application with all signatures and required annexures to <u>academicbranch@wyb.ac.lk</u> and <u>director.iqau@wyb.ac.lk</u> and forward hard copy to the following:

Senior Assistant Registrar, Academic Branch, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka, Kuliyapitiya

Director, Centre for Quality Assurance, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka, Makandura

		Application form							
1	1.1	Name of degree programme in all three languages	(English)						
			(Sinhala)						
			(Tamil)						
	1.2	Name of qualification in all three languages,	(English)						
		in accordance with SLQF 2015	(Sinhala)						
			(Tamil)						
	1.3	Abbreviated qualification	(English)						
2		Programme Offerir	ng Entity						
	2.1	University							
	2.2	Faculty/ Institute							
	2.3	Department(s) (if applicable)							
	2.4	Mandate Availabili	ty						
		Corporate Plan o			Date:				
		the University	Number:	•••••	//	Evidence (Please tick V)			
		Action Plan of the Faculty/Institute		e Number:	Date: //	Evidence (Please tick V)			
		Final ADPSEC	Referenc	e	Date:				
		Approval	Number:		//	Evidence (Please tick V)			
3		Details of the Degr							
	3.1	Background to the	programme <b>(A</b>	ttach as a sepa	rate document – Please r	efer Annex I format)			
		Evidence must be pres programme has the ca			y/ Faculty /Department p gramme.	roposing new degree			

	<ul> <li>section should describe the following:</li> <li>Mandate of the Faculty/Department in offering the degree programme</li> <li>Details as regard to the current status of faculty – existing departments and degree programmes offered</li> <li>Student intake</li> <li>Staff cadres</li> <li>Educational facilities</li> <li>Common facilities</li> <li>Proposal must give general description of the benefits that will be accrued by the students who will pursue degree level training and the sector (s)/employment markets to which the graduate(s) could look for gainful employment</li> </ul>						
3.2	Justification (Attach as a separate document – Please refer Annex II format)						
	This section should include details of degree level trained manpower requirement of the country/sector in the proposed fields of study. The justification should be evidence-based and always be supported by data derived through a survey or tracer study or results derived from any other suitable instrument or published report. Availability of Stakeholder Evidence is a must. Evidence can be in the form of written request from students (existing & past), directions from Ministries etc. Sources - Primary (if a Survey was conducted, at least 100-200 questionnaires should have been administered) - Secondary (from publications etc.) If the proposed programme is offered by another department / faculty of the same university or by another university(s) / Institute (s), the rationale for duplicating a similar programme in the proposed faculty/department must also be given.						
	<b>3.2. a</b> Major stakeholder groups from whom views were obtained (give in annex II)						
	3.2. b Survey/Questionnaire/Interview						
	(Give details) – When conducted, Number of persons in sample (give in annex II)						
	<b>3.2. c</b> Results of Survey/ Questionnaire/Interview (give in annex II)						
3.3	Objectives of the Degree Programme/Programme Outcomes/Graduate Profile Include study programme/subject specific qualification descriptors. Programme Outcome should be elaborated stating how the Graduate's profile can be applied in practical scenarios/ relevant community.						
	<b>3.3 a</b> Objectives of the Degree Programme						
	3.3 b Programme Outcomes/ Graduate Profile						
3.4	Eligibility requirementsList the GCE A/L subject basket:(Qualifications for university admission)						
3.5	Admission process       i. UGC 'Z' score-based selection         ii. 'Z' score & Aptitude Test						

	<b>3.6</b> Proposed student intake       Intake: student/year (PI. note, the minimum number for a new degree program year)					gree programme	is 50 students		
	3.7	7 Programme Duration and Credit Load							
		General Deg Professional		irs Degree/	Course wo Student T		credits ch:		
	3.8	Programme	Structure: 7	This should give					
				Proc	gramme Stru	icture			
			T . T						
		Semesters	Course Code	Course Name		Credit Value	Status (Compulsory /Optional)	Existing/ New	
		1							
		2							
		3							
		5							
		6							
		7							
		8							
		9							
_	3.9	10	ri Lanka Ou	olification Fram					
	3.9	a Targeteu S	n Lanka Qu	alification Fram	iework (SLQ	(F) Level (Plea	ise tick vj		
			Level 5 (Bachelors)		evel 6 rs Honours,	Leve (Bachelors			
			(Bachelors)		rogramme)	5 year prog			
		<b>b</b> Minimum re	equirements	of SLQF fulfilled	Yes 📃 🛛 🛛	No 🗌			
3	3.10	format) Semester 1 Course Code:		tach as a separate	e document for	r 6/ 8 semeste	rs – Please refer <i>i</i>	Annex III	
		Course Name Credit Value:	:						
		Hourly Break		y/Practical/Indep		ning			
				rning Outcomes: completion of th		ident will he a	hle to - in actio	n verhs)	
		)							
		Course Cont Teaching /Le	-	topics, Sub topi thods:	cs)				

	Assessment Strateg Continuous Asses Final Exam - Theo Prac Recommended reac	ssment:% ory:% tical:%									
4	Programme Delive Support System Note: Blended, studen with judicious use of learning tools is a require	nt centered teaching f ICT teaching and	built into the s	detail the teaching and training methods in- ne study programme: <b>5 a separate document – Please refer Annex IV</b>							
5	Programme Assessn Rules						er Annex V				
6	Resource Requirement										
				Addition	al Require	ement (Est	imated)				
		Existing	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4					
	Physical Resources	5									
	Land extent (Acre/Hec	tare)									
	Office Space										
	No. of Lecture Theatre										
	No. of Laboratories										
	No. of Computers with										
	Reading Rooms/Halls										
	Staff Common Rooms/	Amenities									
	Student Common Roo	ms/Amenities									
	Other										
					1						
	Financial Resource	s									
	Capital Expenditure										
	Recurrent Expenditure	:									
	Human Resources	L		1	1	1	1				
	No. of Academic Staff	Lecturers									
	No. of Academic Supp	Instructors/									
		Executive Grades									
	No. of Non Academic	Technical Grades									
	Staff	Management Assistant	s								
		Minor Staff		1		1	1				

7		Panel of Teachers/												
		Internal Resource Persons/External Resource Persons												
		(Attach as a separate document – Please refer Annex VI format)												
			o	-	e No. of 1		-	ours/W	-					
			Designation	Internal		Exter			Proposed	Total Hours				
		Name of	sigı	Progran	nmes	Progr	amn	nes	Programme	(i)+(ii)+(iii)				
		the	De	(i)		(ii)			_ (iii)					
		Lecturer		0		0								
				Undergraduate	ate	Undergraduate		ate						
				grac	Postgraduate	grac		Postgraduate						
				nder	ostgr	Jder		ostgr						
				5	Рс	5		Рс						
8		Does the		•			Voc		No 🗌					
		commence	•			-	163							
		programme resources r		-	allocation	1 01								
9		<b>a.</b> Does t			na hava	ovit	Yes		No 🗌					
5				ferent le		CAIL	163							
		points a	at un		VCIS									
		<b>b.</b> If yes,	state	e qualifio	cation at	exit								
		points												
		(Ensure app			•	rately								
10		for all exit p Does the				any	Yes		No					
10		collaboratio	-	with		other	163							
		Departmen	-			itute	lf v	es, give	details:					
		outside uni		-										
11		Access to	) fa	cilities	outside	the								
		university.					Yes		No 🗌					
		If yes, copy			-									
		/MoU with			iate auth	ority								
		should be a												
12		Do the gra				-			N 🗖					
			•	essional	•	after	Yes		No 🔄					
		completion		0		+								
		If yes, co recognitior												
		the degree	· •		-									
		should be a			Contral	Souy								
13		Reviewers					(Att	ach as a	separate document	– Please refer Annex VII				
							forn		-					
	13.1	Names of t	he tv	vo Reviev	wers									

	13.2	Nomination by Senate	Date:/ /
	13.3	Report of Reviewers attached	Yes No
	13.4	Recommendation of Reviewers comments incorporated	Yes No
			(If yes please highlight such in the whole document)
14		Any other relevant information not stated above	
15		Recommendation and Signature of CQA Director of the University	
16		Signature of Dean of the Faculty / Director of Institute and official stamp	

#### Notes:

The types of revisions applicable to obtain the approval of the UGC are briefly described below.

a. Changes to the number of academic years required for the study program

The length of the study program has been changed (reduced or increased) from its approved duration.

b. Changes to SLQF qualification type with designators and qualifiers

**Qualification type** is the first name given to a qualification (e.g. Bachelors, and Bachelors Honours, Postgraduate Certificate, Postgraduate diploma, Masters, and Doctorate)

**The designator** is the second name given to a qualification. This indicates the broad area of study or discipline. The examples are Bachelor of *Arts*, Bachelor of *Science*, Master of *Commerce*, Doctor of *Philosophy* and Doctor of *Science*.

**The qualifier** is the third name given to a qualification. This is used to indicate the field of specialization of a qualification (e.g. Bachelor of Science Honours in Chemistry, Postgraduate Certificate in Library Science, and Master of Philosophy in Environmental Science).

If the proposed revisions result a change above, then the UGC approval must be obtained.

#### c. Changes to SLQF exit level

SLQF exit qualifications are awarded at a specific level of the programme of study (e.g. SLQF Level 5 to 12). Exit qualifications will have specific programme learning outcomes defined in the Programme Specification for the relevant level of the qualification. All programme learning outcomes must be achieved before a qualification can be conferred.

If the proposed revisions result a change in the Exit Level already approved, then the UGC approval must be obtained.

d. Adding or removing lateral entry and early exit possibilities

**Lateral entry** is a mode of admission to a matching level of a study programme through recognition of prior learning such as professional qualification and experience.

**Early exit** award refers to granting a lower qualification than the enrolled degree if a student chooses to terminate his/her studies during the study programme without completing the full study programme provided that he/she may have completed a sufficient number of credits for lower qualification.

If the revision provides or removes such entry or exit points, then the UGC approval must be obtained.

e. Changes to admission criteria

If the revision changes the minimum qualification or requirements for admission (eg. GCE Advanced Level Z score or any other admission criteria) for a bachelor or postgraduate degree, then the UGC approval must be obtained.

f. Introduction of a specialization / majoring area in an existing degree program

A specialization / majoring is a focused area of study discipline. Specialization is usually denoted by the qualifier. If the revision introduces one or more such a focused area, then the UGC approval must be obtained.

If the revision involves a deletion or substitution of one or more specialization / major or minor area in an existing degree program

If the revision involves a deletion or substitution of one or more specialization / major or minor area in an existing degree program, then the UGC approval must be obtained.

g. Others (Specify)

Other than the above, any other major changes that will affect the quality of the degree programme due to revisions as determined by the University as necessary must be submitted with explanations to the UGC for its approval.

#### Annex I: 3.1 Background to the programme

> Mandate of the Faculty/Department in offering the degree programme

- Details as regard to the current status of faculty existing departments and degree programmes offered
  - Student intake
  - Staff cadres
  - Educational facilities
  - Common facilities

	Faculty: Management								
	Department	Offered Degree Programme	Abbreviation	Student Intake	Staff cadres	Educational facilities	Common facilities		
1	Eg. Business	Bachelor of Science	BScHons						
	Management	Honours in Business	(Business						
		Management	Management)						

Proposal must give a general description of the benefits that will be accrued by the students who will pursue degree level training and the sector (s)/employment markets to which the graduate(s) could look for gainful employment

# Annex II: 3.2 Justification

3.2 a Major stakeholder groups from	whom views were obtained							
	whom views were obtailed							
*								
$\rightarrow$								
3.2 b Survey/Questionnaire/Interviev	N							
When Conducted	Number of persons in sample							
3.2 c Result of Survey/Questionnaire/	/Interview							

## Annex III: 3.10 Programme Content

Each course unit in the proposed programme should be described in the format given below

Semester 1								
Course Code:								
Course Name:								
Credit Value:								
Core/Optional								
Hourly Breakdown This should be provided as hours assigned for lectures, practical classes or independent learning, such that a total of 50 notional hours of learning are required for each credit. For industrial training and research projects, one credit requires 100 notional hours of learning. Course Aim/Intended Learning	Theory	Practica	l Inde	ependent Learning				
(how to write ILOs: At the completion of this course student will be able to - in action verbs)								
Teaching /Learning Methods:								
Assessment Strategy:								
Continuous Assess	ment		Final Assessme	ent				
	%			%				
Details: quizzes %, mid-semester (specify) %%		Theory (%)	Practical (%)	Other (%)(specify)				
Recommended Reading:		1		1				

## Please include constructive alignment for each course using the following template.

ILO	Teaching and Learning Activities	Assessment
ILO 1		
ILO 2		
ILO 3		
ILO 4		
ILO 5		
ILO 6		
ILO 7		

## Course: <Code> <Title>

[See notes on constructive alignment at the end of this application form]

### Annex IV: 4. Programme Delivery and Learner Support System

Describe the teaching learning methods that will be used for delivery of the programme of study, and the learning resources that will be available to support student learning.

The learning activities must be student-centred, and include opportunities for blended learning that makes judicious use of ICT based tools.

## Annex V: 5. Programme Assessment Procedure/Rules

(The following should be given in detail)

Formative and summative examinations in the program
Scheme of Grading (Grades/Grade Points/ Marks ranges)
Calculation of Grade Point Average (GPA)
Contribution by each semester to final GPA
Contribution by in-plant training etc. to final GPA

Repeat examinations

Requirements for award of the degree

Requirements for award of classes

# Annex VI: 7. Panel of Teachers/Internal Resource Persons

		Average No. of Teaching Hours/Week					
Name of the Lecturer	Designation	Internal Programmes (i)		External Programmes (ii)		Proposed Programme (iii)	Total Hours (i)+(ii)+(iii)
		Undergraduate	Postgraduate	Undergraduate	Postgraduate		
		Dnd	Post	Und	Post		

# Annex VI: 7. Panel of Teachers/External Resource Persons

Name	Qualification	Affiliation

## Annex VII: 13. Reviewers Report

The proposal should be reviewed by at least two content / subject experts, who have been nominated by the Senate of the University. They should be requested to comment on the following aspects of the proposal.

1	Acceptability of the Background and the Justification	
2	Relevance of proposed degree	
2	program to Society	
3	Entry Qualification and	
	Admission Process	
4	Program Structure	
5	Program Content	
6	Teaching Learning Methods	
7	Assessment Strategy/Procedure	
8	Resource Availability - Physical	
9	Qualifications of Panel of	
	Teachers (Internal & External)	
10	Recommended reading	
11		
	(Please mark one of the following)	
	a. Recommended without amendment	
	b. Recommended subject to	
	improvement in the following areas	
	c. Not suitable for the next stage of	
	evaluation due to following reasons	
	Details of Reviewer	
1	Name	
2	Designation	
3	Signature	
4	Date	

# Annex VIII: Summary of revisions

	Existing programme	Revised programme
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		

#### **Notes: Constructive alignment**

"Constructive alignment is a design for teaching in which what it is intended students should learn and how they should express their learning is clearly stated before teaching takes place. Teaching is then designed to engage students in learning activities that optimize their chances of achieving those outcomes, and assessment tasks are designed to enable clear judgments as to how well those outcomes have been attained" (Biggs, 2014, pp. 5-6).

The constructive alignment approach recognizes "knowledge is constructed by the activities of the learner" (Biggs, 2014, p. 9) rather than being directly transferable from teacher to student. "Learning takes place through the active behavior of the student: it is what he does that he learns, not what the teacher does." (Tyler, 1949)

Alignment occurs when the learning activities that we ask students to engage in help them to develop the knowledge, skills and understandings intended for the unit and measured by our assessment. A constructively aligned unit capitalizes on the powerful effect of assessment on students' learning experiences. If assessment drives students' learning, then students are most likely to achieve our intended outcomes if the assessment is aligned with our intentions.

The framework of constructive alignment is represented in the University's recommended approach to unit design:

- 1. Identify the intended learning outcomes
- 2. Design assessment tasks to measure attainment of the learning outcomes
- 3. Plan learning activities to enable students to develop the skills, knowledge and understandings described in the intended learning outcomes and measured by assessment

#### References

Biggs, J. (2014). Constructive alignment in university teaching. HERDSA Review of Higher Education, 1, 5-22.

Tyler, R.W. (1949). Basic principles of curriculum and instruction. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.