

Wayamba University of Sri Lanka

Application for Approval of Revised Postgraduate Degree/Diploma Programs (Effective from May 2022)

Check List for Proponent						
	Date	,	Month		Year	
Complete original application submission to the Senate						
Hard copy						
Soft copy						

Type of Proposal and Revisions done (Please mark √ accordingly) – by Proponent

Туре	e of Degree/Diploma						
)	Postgraduate Degree						
)	Postgraduate Diploma						
Revi	sions done (Refer the Notes at the end of the application form)						
а	Changes to the number of academic years required for the study program						
b	Changes to SLQF qualification type with designators and qualifiers						
С	Changes to SLQF exit level						
d	Adding or removing lateral entry and early exit possibilities						
е	Adding/deleting or substituting one or more specialization/major in an existing						
	degree program						
f	Others (Specify)						

Note: Please specify the revisions made under (a) to (f) in Annex XI

Please email the completed application with all signatures and required annexures to academicbranch@wyb.ac.lk and director.iqau@wyb.ac.lk and forward hard copy to the following:

Senior Assistant Registrar, Academic Branch, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka, Kuliyapitiya

Director, Centre for Quality Assurance, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka, Makandura

		Application form						
1	1.1	Name of Degree/Diploma programme in all	(En	glish)				
		three languages	(Sin	hala)				
			(Ta	mil)				
	1.2	Name of Qualification in all three languages	(En	glish)				
			(Sin	hala)				
			(Ta	mil)				
	1.3	Abbreviated qualification	(En	glish)				
2		Programme Offerin	g En	tity				
	2.1	University						
	2.2	Faculty/Faculties Institute/s						
	2.3	Department/s (if applicable)						
	2.4	Mandate Availabilit	У					
		Corporate Plan o	f	Reference	Date:			
		the University		Number:	//	Evidence (Please tick V)		
		Corporate Plan/		Reference Number:	Date:			
		Action Plan of the Faculty/Institute	2		//	Evidence [] (Please tick V)		
		Final ADPSEC		Reference	Date:			
		Approval		Number:	/	Evidence (Please tick V)		

3		Details of the Degree/Diploma Programme
	3.1	Background to the programme (Attach as a separate document – Please refer Annex I format)
		Evidence must be presented to show that the University/ Institute/Faculty /Department proposing new degree/diploma programme has the capacity to offer the proposed programme.
		This section should describe the following: Mandate of the Institute/Faculty/Department in offering the degree/diploma programme Details as regard to the current status of faculty – existing departments and degree/diploma programmes offered Student intake Staff cadres Educational facilities Common facilities Proposal must give general description of the benefits that will be accrued by the students who will pursue degree/diploma level training and the sector (s)/employment markets to which the graduate(s) could look for gainful employment
	3.2	Justification (Attach as a separate document – Please refer Annex II format)
		This section should include details of degree/diploma level trained manpower requirement of the country/sector in the proposed fields of study. The justification should be evidence-based and always be supported by data derived through a survey or tracer study or results derived from any other suitable instrument or published report. Availability of Stakeholder Evidence is a must. Evidence can be in the form of written request from students (existing & past), directions from Ministries etc. Sources - Primary (if a Survey was conducted, at least 50-100 questionnaires should have been administered) - Secondary (from publications etc.) If the proposed programme is offered by another department/faculty/Institute of the same university or by another university(s) / Institute (s), the rationale for duplicating a similar programme in the proposed faculty/department/Institute must also be given. 3.2. a Major stakeholder groups from whom views were obtained Secondary (Institute and programme) Secondary (Institute) Seconda
		3.2. c Results of Survey/ Questionnaire/Interview
	3.3	Objectives of the Degree/Diploma Programme/Programme Outcomes/Graduate Profile include study programme/subject specific qualification descriptors.
		Programme Outcome should be elaborated stating how the Graduate's profile can be applied in practical scenarios/ relevant community.
		3.3 a Objectives of the Degree/Diploma Programme
		3.3 b Programme Outcomes/ Graduate Profile

3.4	Eligibility requiremer (Entry Qualificatio											
3.5	Admission	,,,,,	i.	Written paper/ A	Aptitude Test	Yes 🗍	No 🗌		_			
	process			Interview	ipintude rest	Yes 🗌	No					
3.6	Proposed Student Intake			Intake: students/year								
3.7	Programme	Duratio	on,	Гуре of Degree a	ind Credit Load							
	3.7 a PhD			Duration: yrs. Course work: credits Thesis Research: credits/yrs. Total Credits:								
	3.7 b	MPhil				c: credits arch: credits/yrs.						
	3.7 c	Maste	r's c	legree		rch:	credits credits					
	3.7 d	Postgr	adu	ate diplomas		rch:	credits credits					
3.8	Programme	Structu	ıre:	This should give	details as belo	W						
				Prog	ramme Structu	ıre						
	Semesters	Course	e	Course Name		Credit Value	Status (Compulsory /Optional)	Existing/ New				
	1								1			
	2								-			
	3								-			
	4								-			
									_			

	3.9	a Targeted Sri Lanka Qualification Framework (SLQF) Level (Please tick √)									
				7	8	9	10	11	12		
			SLQF Level								
		b Minim	um requirements of SI	.QF fulfille	d Yes[No					
	3.10	_	Programme Content (Attach as a separate document for courses in each semester – Please refer Annex III format)								
		Semester 1 Course Code: Course Name: Credit Value: Hourly Breakdown: Theory/Practical/Independent Learning Course Aim/Intended Learning Outcomes: (how to write ILOs: At the completion of this course student will be able to - in action verbs) Course Content: (Main topics, Sub topics) Teaching /Learning Methods: Assessment Strategy: Continue Assessment:% Final Exam - Theory:% Practical:% References/Reading Materials:								action	
4		Support Note: Ble with jud	nme Delivery and System ended, student centere icious use of ICT te ools is a requirement.	ed teachin	built (Prov		udy progra	amme:		ethods in- er Annex IV	
5			nme Assessment Pro	cedure	Proce		s:	_		er Annex V	

6	Resources Requirement
U	Mesources negative inclin

		F. dation	Additional Requirement (Estimated)					
		Existing	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4		
Physical Resources	5							
Land extent (Acre/Hec	tare)							
Office Space								
No. of Lecturer Theatr	es							
No. of Laboratories								
No. of Computers with	Internet Facilities							
Reading Rooms/Halls								
Staff Common Rooms/	'Amenities							
Student Common Roo	ms/Amenities							
Other								
Financial Resource	es							
Capital Expenditure								
Recurrent Expenditure	1							
Human Resources								
No. of Academic	Lecturers							
Staff	Instructors/							
No. of Academic Suppo	ort Staff							
	Executive Grades							
No. of Non Academic	Technical Grades							
Staff	Management Assistants							
	Minor Staff							

7 Panel of Teachers/

Internal Resource Persons/External Resource Persons (Attach as a separate document – Please refer Annex VI format)

Average No. of Teaching Hours/Week									
atic	Internal		Externa	l	Proposed	Total Hours			
ign	Program	ımes	Progran	nmes	Programme	(i)+(ii)+(iii)			
Ses	(i)		(ii)		(iii)				
_	Undergraduate	Postgraduate	Undergraduate	Postgraduate					
	Designation	(i) Internal	Internal Programmes (i)	Internal Externa Programmes Program (i) (ii)	Internal External Programmes (ii) (iii)	Internal External Proposed Programmes Programmes (i) (ii) (iii)			

8		Does the Faculty have resources to commence operation of new degree/diploma programme, pending allocation of resources requested?	Yes No No
9		a. Does the programme have exit at other postgraduate qualification levels	Yes/No
		b. If yes, state qualification at exit points(Ensure approval is obtained separately for all exit point qualifications)	
10		Does the programme have any collaboration with another Department/Faculty or Institute outside universities?	Yes No If yes, give details:
11		Access to facilities outside the university. If yes, copy of the relevant agreement /MOU with the appropriate authority should be attached.	Yes No No
12		Do the graduates need membership in the professional body after completion of the Degree/Diploma? If Yes copy of the document on recognition/provisional recognition of the degree by the professional body should be attached.	Yes No No
13		Fee structure	(Attach as a separate document – Please refer Annex VII format)
	13.1	Tuition fees	
	13.2	Other fees if any (specify)	
14		Total estimated budget	(Attach as a separate document – Please refer Annex VIII format)
15		Reviewers Report	(Attach as a separate document – Please refer Annex IX format)
	15.1	Names of the two Reviewers	
	15.2	Nomination by Senate	Date:/
	15.3	Report of Reviewers attached	Yes No

Page **8** of **25**

	15.4	Recommendation of Reviewers comments incorporated	Yes No No
			(If yes please highlight such in the whole document)
16		Any other relevant information not stated above	
17		Recommendation and Signature of CQA Director of the University	
18		Signature of Dean of the Faculty/Director of Institute and official stamp	

Notes:

The types of revisions applicable to obtain the approval of the UGC are briefly described below.

a. Changes to the number of academic years required for the study program
 The length of the study program has been changed (reduced or increased) from its approved duration.

b. Changes to SLQF qualification type with designators and qualifiers

Qualification type is the first name given to a qualification (e.g. Bachelors, and Bachelors Honours, Postgraduate Certificate, Postgraduate diploma, Masters, and Doctorate)

The designator is the second name given to a qualification. This indicates the broad area of study or discipline. The examples are Bachelor of *Arts*, Bachelor of *Science*, Master of *Commerce*, Doctor of *Philosophy* and Doctor of *Science*.

The qualifier is the third name given to a qualification. This is used to indicate the field of specialization of a qualification (e.g. Bachelor of Science Honours in Chemistry, Postgraduate Certificate in Library Science, and Master of Philosophy in Environmental Science).

If the proposed revisions result a change above, then the UGC approval must be obtained.

c. Changes to SLQF exit level

SLQF exit qualifications are awarded at a specific level of the programme of study (e.g. SLQF Level 5 to 12). Exit qualifications will have specific programme learning outcomes defined in the Programme Specification for the relevant level of the qualification. All programme learning outcomes must be achieved before a qualification can be conferred.

If the proposed revisions result a change in the Exit Level already approved, then the UGC approval must be obtained.

d. Adding or removing lateral entry and early exit possibilities

Lateral entry is a mode of admission to a matching level of a study programme through recognition of prior learning such as professional qualification and experience.

Early exit award refers to granting a lower qualification than the enrolled degree if a student chooses to terminate his/her studies during the study programme without completing the full study programme provided that he/she may have completed a sufficient number of credits for lower qualification.

If the revision provides or removes such entry or exit points, then the UGC approval must be obtained.

e. Introduction of a specialization / majoring area in an existing degree program

A specialization / majoring is a focused area of study discipline. Specialization is usually denoted by the qualifier. If the revision introduces one or more such a focused area, then the UGC approval must be obtained.

If the revision involves a deletion or substitution of one or more specialization / major or minor area in an existing degree program

If the revision involves a deletion or substitution of one or more specialization / major or minor area in an existing degree program, then the UGC approval must be obtained.

f. Others (Specify)

Other than the above, any other major changes that will affect the quality of the degree programme due to revisions as determined by the University as necessary must be submitted with explanations to the UGC for its approval.

Annex I: 3.1 Background to the programme

Mandate of the Institute/Faculty/Department/Board of Study in offering the
degree/diploma programme

- > Details as regard to the current status of faculty existing Departments/Board of Study and degree/diploma programmes offered
 - Student intake
 - Staff cadres
 - Educational facilities
 - Common facilities

Board of Study	Offered Degree/Diploma Programme	Abbreviation	Student Intake	Staff cadres	Educational facilities	Common facilities
Eg. Business Administration	Master of Business Administration	MBA				
		Eg. Business Master of Administration Business	Eg. Business Master of MBA Administration Business	Eg. Business Master of MBA Administration Business	Eg. Business Master of MBA Administration Business	Eg. Business Master of MBA Administration Business

Proposal must give general description of the benefits that will be accrued by the students who will pursue degree/diploma level training and the sector (s)/employment markets to which the graduate(s) could look for gainful employment

Annex II: 3.2 Justification

	1	
3.2 a Major stakeholder groups from whom views were obtained		
D		
>		
>		
>		
3.2 b Survey/Questionnaire/Interview		
When Conducted	Number of persons in sample	
3.2 c Result of Survey/Questionnaire/Interview		

Annex III: 3.10 Programme Content (Attach as a separate document for each semester in the program)

Semester 1			
Course Code:			
Course Name:			
Credit Value:			
Core/Optional			
Hourly Breakdown	Theory	Practical	Independent Learning
Course Aim/Intended Learning (how to write ILOs: At the com		rse student will be able to	o - in action verbs)
>			
>			
>			
>			
·			
Course Content: (Main topics, Teaching /Learning Methods:	Sub topics)		
reaching / Learning iviethous.			

Assessment Strategy:			
	T		
Continuous Assessment		Final Assessme	ent
%	%		
Details: quizzes %, mid-semester %, other % (specify)	Theory (%)	Practical (%)	Other (%)(specify)
%%			
References/Reading Materials:			
>			
>			
>			
>			
>			
> > >			
>			
>			

Please include constructive alignment for each course using the following template.

Course: <Code> <Title>

ILO	Teaching and Learning Activities	Assessment
ILO 1		
ILO 2		
ILO 3		
ILO 4		
ILO 5		
ILO 6		
ILO 7		

[See notes on constructive alignment at the end of this application form]

Annex IV: 4. Programme Delivery and Learner Support System

Annex V: 5. Programme Assessment Procedure/Rules

(The following should be given in detail)

Formative and summative examinations in the program:
Scheme of Grading (Grades/Grade Points/ Marks ranges):
Calculation of Grade Point Average (GPA):
Contribution by each semester to final GPA:
Contribution by in-plant training etc. to final GPA:
Repeat/Make up examinations:
Guidelines on thesis proposal presentation and defense:
Guidelines on conduct of research:
Guidelines on comprehensive examination:
Guidelines on thesis defense examination:
Any other:

Annex VI: 7. Panel of Teachers/Internal Resource Persons

		Average No. of Teaching Hours/Week			Week		
		Internal External Programmes (i) (ii)		Proposed Total			
Name of the Lecturer	Designation			nmes	Programme	Hours	
						(iii)	(i)+(ii)+(iii)
		Undergraduate	Postgraduate	Undergraduate	Postgraduate		
		grad	grad	grad	grad		
		derg	ostg	derg	ostg		
		5) j			
	1				<u> </u>		<u> </u>

Annex VI: 7. Panel of Teachers/External Resource Persons

Name	Qualification	Affiliation

Annex VII: 13. Fee structure

Per Student (Rs.)

Annex VIII: 14. Total estimated budget per student (according to commission circular 04/2016)

University/HEI:
Programme:

	% to be	Rs.	Rs.
	allocated		
A. Total Income			
i. Course/Consultancy Fee			xxx
ii. Research Grant			XXX
iii. Registration Fee			XXX
iv. Library Fee			XXX
v. Other (Please specify)			XXX
		xx	
		xx	XXX
Total Income = Direct Cost + Indirect Cost			хххх
B. Direct Cost			
E 1 1 Dayments to Outside Consultants/Team Members Contract Staff		VAVA	
5.1.1 Payments to Outside Consultants/Team Members, Contract Staff5.1.2 Field Expenses, Academic activities, Surveys, Testing, etc.		XXX	
5.1.2 Conduct meetings, Hire lecture halls, Refreshments, etc.		XXX	
<u> </u>		XXX	
5.1.3 Travelling, Subsistence, Materials, Equipment usage etc.5.1.4 University/HEI/Faculty/Department/Course/Infrastructure		XXX	
Development/etc. Vote		XXX	
5.1.5 Government Taxes		xxx	
5.1.6 UGC – 0.01% of Income		xxx	
5.1.7 Other (Please specify)		xxx	
		xxx	
		xxx	xxxx
C. Indirect Cost			
5.2.1 University/HEI Development vote	15% - 30%	xxx	
5.2.2 VC's Vote	0% - 5%	xxx	
5.2.3 Payments to Directly and Indirectly involved Staff Members	65% - 85%	XXX	xxxx
Total Cost = Direct Cost + Indirect Cost			хххх
D. Excess of Total Income over Total Cost/Expenditure			0.00
5.3 Total Allocation to Development Votes (5.1.4,5.2.1,5.2.2)/ Total Income			хх %

Annex IX: 15. Reviewers Report

Instructions for the Reviewers

Ы	ease	comment	on t	he fo	llo	wing	zs
---	------	---------	------	-------	-----	------	----

Piea	ase comment on the followings	
1	Acceptability of the Background and the Justification	
2	Relevance of proposed degree program to Society	
3	Entry Qualification and Admission Process	
4	Program Structure	
5	Program Content	
6	Teaching Learning Methods	
7	Assessment Strategy/Procedure	

8	Resource Availability - Physical				
9	Qualifications of Panel of Teachers (Internal & External)				
10	References/Reading Materials				
11	Recommendation (Please mark one of the following)	1			
	a. Recommended for next stage processing	of			
	b. Recommended for the next stage of evaluation subject to further improvement in the following areas				
	c. Not suitable for the next stage evaluation due to following re				
		D	4	Davie	
1	Name	Review	ver 1	Reviev	wer 2
2	Designation				
3	Signature				
4	Date				

Annex X: Summary of revisions

	Existing programme	Revised programme
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		

Notes: Constructive alignment

"Constructive alignment is a design for teaching in which what it is intended students should learn and how they should express their learning is clearly stated before teaching takes place. Teaching is then designed to engage students in learning activities that optimize their chances of achieving those outcomes, and assessment tasks are designed to enable clear judgments as to how well those outcomes have been attained" (Biggs, 2014, pp. 5-6).

The constructive alignment approach recognizes "knowledge is constructed by the activities of the learner" (Biggs, 2014, p. 9) rather than being directly transferable from teacher to student. "Learning takes place through the active behavior of the student: it is what he does that he learns, not what the teacher does." (Tyler, 1949)

Alignment occurs when the learning activities that we ask students to engage in help them to develop the knowledge, skills and understandings intended for the unit and measured by our assessment. A constructively aligned unit capitalizes on the powerful effect of assessment on students' learning experiences. If assessment drives students' learning, then students are most likely to achieve our intended outcomes if the assessment is aligned with our intentions.

The framework of constructive alignment is represented in the University's recommended approach to unit design:

- 1. Identify the intended learning outcomes
- 2. Design assessment tasks to measure attainment of the learning outcomes
- 3. Plan learning activities to enable students to develop the skills, knowledge and understandings described in the intended learning outcomes and measured by assessment

References

Biggs, J. (2014). Constructive alignment in university teaching. HERDSA Review of Higher Education, 1, 5-22.

Tyler, R.W. (1949). Basic principles of curriculum and instruction. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.